



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/484 594

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

10

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ned Isaelson (3) Robert C. Sayer  
(2) Marianne Allen (4)

Date of interview 10/25/96

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached.

Claims discussed: all claims

Identification of prior art discussed: applicant will include a few reference in an IDS addressing issues related to neural protection

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the language paralleling that allowed in application 08/100247 should obviate the enablement rejection associated w/ "fragments". Issues <sup>were</sup> discussed concerning enablement *in vivo* which rely on that predictive in the art or predictive from *in vitro* data that can be extrapolated to *in vivo* treatment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Robert C. Sayer  
Examiner's Signature